6-24-02

JUN 2 6 2002 62

**TECH CENTER 1600/2900** 

Atterney Docket No. 970113R/HG

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): KIMURA

JUN 2 0 2002

Serial No. : 09/678,218

Filed : September 29, 2000

Art Unit : 1626

Examiner : L. Stockton

RESPONSE TO OFFICE COMMUNICATION
OF JUNE 11, 2002
and

STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents

SIR:

"Express Mail Mailing Label
No.: EV 084955755 US
Date of Deposit: June 20, 2002
I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231

Francine E. Smith

In the event that this Paper is late filed, and the necessary petition for extension of time is not filed concurrently herewith, please consider this as a Petition for the requisite extension of time, and to the extent not tendered by check attached hereto, authorization to charge the extension fee or any other fee required in connection with this paper, to Account No. 06-1378.

## RESPONSE TO OFFICIAL COMMUNICATION OF JUNE 11, 2002

The cover page (PTO-90C) of the June 11, 2002 Office communication states "The request for deferral/suspension of action under 37 CFR 1.103 has been approved." This sheet is followed by a second sheet (PTO-90C) which states

"All claims are allowable. However, due to a potential interference, ex parte prosecution is SUSPENDED FOR A PERIOD OF SIX MONTHS from the date of this letter. Upon expiration of the period of suspension, applicant should make an inquiry as to the status of the application."

RECEIVED
JUN 2 6 2002

Applicants did not request "deferral/suspension of the look of the suspension is a "notice of suspension on initiative of the Office" under 37 CFR 1.103(e). The relevant facts are set forth in the following statement.

## STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

The Office communication of June 11, 2002 attached an Examiner's Interview Summary form PTO-413 wherein the bottom paragraph states that if the preceding paragraph has not been checked, applicant is given one month from the interview date to file a statement of the substance of the interview. Since said box was not checked, this paper is being filed.

The attachment with said Interview Summary, namely the Summary of Record of Interview Requirements, in the lower portion thereof, sets forth eight items which should be included in a complete and proper recordation of the interview. In the present instance, items 1-5 are not applicable. The 6<sup>th</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup> items, namely, 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and 7) the general results or outcome of the interview, follow.

On June 5, 2002, Examiner Stockton telephoned and stated that prosecution of the application would be suspended to provide time for the processing of the application within the Group to possibly institute an interference. Examiner Stockton stated that she would work with a Special Program Examiner. Examiner Stockton informed me that a Statement under 37 CFR 1.607 should be filed.

During the interview, Examiner Stockton also stated that her earlier rejections should be withdrawn.

It is respectfully submitted that present statement is fully responsive to the requirements set forth in the bottom paragraph of the Interview Summary PTO-413.

Respectfully submitted,

MERBERT GOODMAN Reg. No. 17,081

Frishauf, Holtz, Goodman

& Chick, P.C.

767 Third Avenue - 25th Floor

New York, NY 10017-2023 Telephone: (212) 319-4900 Facsimile: (212) 319-5101

HG/fs